DRAFIT F **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

AUG 0 4 2009

2003/005

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 10/550,126 Examiner. Andrey Belousov					
Tentative Participauts: (1) Andrew Belousov	-			E-ACTION INMEDI	
(3) Supervisor/Primary	_ (4)		·- <u></u>		
Proposed Date of Interview: Aug. 6			ime: 2pm	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [x] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference					
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demoustr If yes, provide brief description:	nted: [] YES	[] NO			
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) 102 23-48	Art Opera	[]	[]	[]	
(3)		[]	[]	[]	
(3)		[]	[]	[]	
(4)		[]	[]	[]	
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:					
An interview was conducted on the NOTE: This form should be complete (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from interview. Therefore, applicant is advised as soon as possible.	ed by applicant and subsome issue because of applicant to file a statement of	mitted to the examination of the substance of the substan	ibmit a written bis luterview (3	record of this 37 CFR 1.133(b))	
Applicant Applicant's Representat Herbert F. Ruschmann Typed Printed Name of Applicant or 35,341 Registration Number, if app	Representative	Exam	uner/SPE Sign	attire	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burdon, should be sent to the Chief Information Offices, U.S. Parent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1460, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PAGE 3/5 * RCVD AT 8/4/2009 4:06:00 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/36 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:12129537733 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-00

08/04/2009 16:14 FAX 12129537733

Ø 004/005

Docket No. F-8698

Ser. No. 10/550,126

Interview Request Continuation Sheet

Re: Claim 25-28, and 38-41.

Each of the claims is directed to a situation when the activated operating section corresponds to the presently opened window and requires that a certain function be performed in response to the activation of the section. The rejection of independent claim 23 interprets operating sections corresponding to windows as prior, current, and next windows (pg. 5) which have corresponding buttons 1, 2 and 5. See OA pg 3. paragraph c. With regard to each of the noted claims, 25-28, and 38-41, the rejection cites buttons used by Opera, i.e., buttons 3, F2, F4 and an unknown button for "Access active bookmark folder" to effect a function listed which the Examiner considers to correspond to a function of the given claim. None of the cited buttons is an activated operating section that corresponds to the presently opened window.

It is submitted that regardless of how the Examiner is interpreting what constitutes buttons corresponding to windows, the rejection cannot be sustained because none of the buttons cited in the rejections corresponds to any of buttons 1, 2 and 5 chosen as the corresponding buttons in the rejection of the independent claims.

Re claim 48.

Claim 48 recites:

each of the operating sections on the remote controller fixedly corresponds to one of the windows such that correspondence between operating sections and the windows remains the same after the execution of the step of switching.

Docket No. F-8698

Ser. No. 10/550,126

Interview Request Continuation Sheet

It is submitted that the Examiner's interpretation is inconsistent with the claim language. The rejection chooses to interpret Opera buttons corresponding to "previous, next and current" as the operating sections corresponding to windows. It is clear that the windows associated with the named operating sections, i.e. buttons, of Opera do not remain the same after the corresponding button is pressed. While the names "previous" "next" and "current" may be the same, the window that appears when these keys are repeatedly pressed does not remain the same. Hence, claim 48 is submitted as clearly not being anticipated.

Re: Independent Claims 23 and 37.

If required, claim language will be discussed to effect what the Examiner will consider as relating correspondence between operating sections and windows and assigned content of windows.